

**Village of Goodrich
Zoning Board of Appeals
October 19, 2015
7:00pm
Minutes**

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Walworth at 7:00pm

Present: Norman Bass, Mark Baldwin, Terry Christner, Keith Walworth, Ed York,
Deputy Clerk Traci Sasser, Administrator/Clerk Jakki Sidge

Absent:

Public Hearing

Variance request from Craig Steverlynck, 8355 S State Road, Goodrich, Mi 48438 to allow a 45.83 square foot sign, Article VIII table 8.6 sign regulation pylon or ground signs allows a maximum of 40 square feet.

Hearing opened at 7:00pm

Applicant explained his reasons for variance request. Board members voiced their concerns regarding the use of an electronic sign on a curve along M-15.

Hearing closed at 7:17pm

Approval of Agenda

MOTION: To approve the agenda as written.

Moved by York, seconded by Bass

All members present voting in the affirmative. Carried.

MOTION: To approve the agenda with the correction of date on the meeting minutes for November 17, 2014, not November 17, 2015 by York, seconded by Christner

All members present voting in the affirmative. Carried.

Approval of Minutes of November 17, 2014

MOTION: To approve the minutes as presented.

Moved by York, seconded by Bass

All members present voting in the affirmative. Carried.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Variance request from Craig Steverlynck, 8355 S State Road, Goodrich, Mi 48438 to allow a 45.83 square foot sign, Article VIII table 8.6 sign regulation pylon or ground signs allows a maximum of 40 square feet.

MOTION: FOR A NON-USE VARIANCE, CRAIG STEVERLYNCK, 8355 S STATE ROAD, GOODRICH, MI 48438, 02-22-400-037. IN THE MATTER OF ZBA CASE 02-22-400-037 I WOULD MOVE THAT THE PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE FROM THE VILLAGE OF GOODRICH CODE, ARTICLE VIII, TABLE 8.6 FOR 45.83 SQUARE FOOT SIGN BE GRANTED BECAUSE THE PETITIONER DID DEMONSTRATE PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES EXIST IN THIS CASE IN THAT HE SET FORTH FACTS WHICH SHOW THAT:

- 1. COMPLIANCE WITH THE STRICT LETTER OF THE ORDINANCE WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE PETITIONER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH THE ORDINANCE UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME.**
- 2. THAT GRANTING THE VARIANCE REQUESTED WOULD DO SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO THE PETITIONER AS WELL AS TO THE OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE DISTRICT OR THAT A LESSER RELAXATION THAN THAT RELIEF APPLIED FOR WOULD GIVE SUBSTANTIAL RELIEF TO THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY INVOLVED AND BE MORE CONSISTENT WITH JUSTICE TO OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS.**
- 3. THAT THE PETITIONER'S PLIGHT IS DUE TO THE UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE PROPERTY**
- 4. THAT THE PROBLEM IS NOT SELF-CREATED**

Moved by York, seconded by Bass

All members present voting in the affirmative. Carried.

MOTION: To adjourn.

Moved by York, seconded by Baldwin

All members present voting in the affirmative. Carried.

Meeting adjourned at 7:37pm

Respectfully Submitted,

Traci Sasser, Deputy Clerk

Presented: 2/16/2016

Approved: 2/16/2016